### Injective coloring of sparse graphs

#### Daniel W. Cranston

DIMACS, Rutgers and Bell Labs joint with Seog-Jin Kim and Gexin Yu dcransto@dimacs.rutgers.edu

AMS Meeting, University of Illinois March 28, 2009

**Def.** injective coloring: vertex coloring such that if u and v have a common neighbor, then  $c(u) \neq c(v)$ .

**Def.** injective coloring: vertex coloring such that if u and v have a common neighbor, then  $c(u) \neq c(v)$ . injective chromatic number:  $\chi_i(G)$  is minimum k such that G has an injective coloring with k colors.

**Def.** injective coloring: vertex coloring such that if u and v have a common neighbor, then  $c(u) \neq c(v)$ . injective chromatic number:  $\chi_i(G)$  is minimum k such that G has an injective coloring with k colors.



**Def.** injective coloring: vertex coloring such that if u and v have a common neighbor, then  $c(u) \neq c(v)$ . injective chromatic number:  $\chi_i(G)$  is minimum k such that G has an injective coloring with k colors.



Easy bounds:  $\Delta \leq \chi_i(G) \leq \Delta^2 - \Delta + 1$ 

**Def.** injective coloring: vertex coloring such that if u and v have a common neighbor, then  $c(u) \neq c(v)$ . injective chromatic number:  $\chi_i(G)$  is minimum k such that G has an injective coloring with k colors.



**Def.** injective coloring: vertex coloring such that if u and v have a common neighbor, then  $c(u) \neq c(v)$ . injective chromatic number:  $\chi_i(G)$  is minimum k such that G has an injective coloring with k colors.



Easy bounds:  $\Delta \leq \chi_i(G) \leq \Delta^2 - \Delta + 1$  (greedy)



**Def.** injective coloring: vertex coloring such that if u and v have a common neighbor, then  $c(u) \neq c(v)$ . injective chromatic number:  $\chi_i(G)$  is minimum k such that G has an injective coloring with k colors.



Easy bounds:  $\Delta \leq \chi_i(G) \leq \Delta^2 - \Delta + 1$  (greedy)



Ques. When can we prove  $\chi_i(G) \leq \Delta + c$  for  $c \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ?

**Ques.** When can we prove  $\chi_i(G) \leq \Delta + c$  for  $c \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ?

We will study sparse graphs.

**Ques.** When can we prove  $\chi_i(G) \leq \Delta + c$  for  $c \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ?

We will study sparse graphs.

**Def.** maximum average degree of  $G \operatorname{Mad}(G) = \max_{H \subseteq G} \frac{2E(H)}{V(H)}$ 

**Ques.** When can we prove  $\chi_i(G) \leq \Delta + c$  for  $c \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ?

We will study sparse graphs.

**Def.** maximum average degree of  $G \operatorname{Mad}(G) = \max_{H \subseteq G} \frac{2E(H)}{V(H)}$ **Ex.** 

planar graphs Mad(G) < 6

**Ques.** When can we prove  $\chi_i(G) \leq \Delta + c$  for  $c \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ?

We will study sparse graphs.

**Def.** maximum average degree of  $G \operatorname{Mad}(G) = \max_{H \subseteq G} \frac{2E(H)}{V(H)}$ **Ex.** 

planar graphsMad(G) < 6forestsMad(G) < 2

**Ques.** When can we prove  $\chi_i(G) \leq \Delta + c$  for  $c \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ?

We will study sparse graphs.

**Def.** maximum average degree of  $G \operatorname{Mad}(G) = \max_{H \subseteq G} \frac{2E(H)}{V(H)}$ **Ex.** 

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{planar graphs} & \mbox{Mad}(G) < 6 \\ \mbox{forests} & \mbox{Mad}(G) < 2 \\ \mbox{planar, girth} \geq g & \mbox{Mad}(G) < \frac{2g}{g-2} \end{array}$ 

**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{36}{13}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \leq 5$ .







**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{36}{13}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 5$ . **Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.



**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{36}{13}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 5$ . **Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.



**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{36}{13}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 5$ . **Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.



**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{36}{13}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 5$ . **Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.



**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{36}{13}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 5$ . **Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.

#### **Discharging rules**

R1) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{3}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 1. R2) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{1}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 2.  $\mu(v) = d(v)$  and we check that  $\mu^*(v) \ge \frac{36}{12}$  for all v.

**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{36}{13}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 5$ . **Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.

### **Discharging rules** R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{3}{13}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 1. R2) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{13}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 2. $\mu(v) = d(v)$ and we check that $\mu^*(v) \ge \frac{36}{13}$ for all v.

3-vertex not adjacent to 2-vertex:

3-vertex adjacent to 2-vertex:

2-vertex:

**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{36}{13}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 5$ . **Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.

#### **Discharging rules**

R1) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{3}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 1. R2) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{1}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 2.

 $\mu(v) = d(v)$  and we check that  $\mu^*(v) \geq \frac{36}{13}$  for all v.

3-vertex not adjacent to 2-vertex:  $\mu^*(\nu) \ge 3 - 3(\frac{1}{13}) = \frac{36}{13}$ 3-vertex adjacent to 2-vertex:

2-vertex:



**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{36}{13}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 5$ . **Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.

#### **Discharging rules**

R1) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{3}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 1. R2) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{1}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 2.

 $\mu(v) = d(v)$  and we check that  $\mu^*(v) \geq \frac{36}{13}$  for all v.

3-vertex not adjacent to 2-vertex:  $\mu^*(v) \ge 3 - 3(\frac{1}{13}) = \frac{36}{13}$ 3-vertex adjacent to 2-vertex:  $\mu^*(v) = 3 - \frac{3}{13} = \frac{36}{13}$ 2-vertex:

**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{36}{13}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 5$ . **Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.

#### **Discharging rules**

R1) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{3}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 1. R2) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{1}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 2.  $\mu(v) = d(v)$  and we check that  $\mu^*(v) \ge \frac{36}{12}$  for all v.

3-vertex not adjacent to 2-vertex:  $\mu^*(v) \ge 3 - 3(\frac{1}{13}) = \frac{36}{13}$ 3-vertex adjacent to 2-vertex:  $\mu^*(v) = 3 - \frac{3}{13} = \frac{36}{13}$ 2-vertex:  $2 + 2(\frac{3}{13}) + 4(\frac{1}{13}) = \frac{36}{13}$ 



**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{36}{13}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 5$ . **Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.

#### **Discharging rules**

R1) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{3}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 1. R2) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{1}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 2.

 $\mu(v) = d(v)$  and we check that  $\mu^*(v) \geq \frac{36}{13}$  for all v.

3-vertex not adjacent to 2-vertex:  $\mu^*(v) \ge 3 - 3(\frac{1}{13}) = \frac{36}{13}$ 3-vertex adjacent to 2-vertex:  $\mu^*(v) = 3 - \frac{3}{13} = \frac{36}{13}$ 2-vertex:  $2 + 2(\frac{3}{13}) + 4(\frac{1}{13}) = \frac{36}{13}$ 

And the theorem is best possible.

**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{36}{13}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 5$ . **Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.

#### **Discharging rules**

R1) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{3}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 1. R2) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{1}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 2.  $\mu(v) = d(v)$  and we check that  $\mu^*(v) \ge \frac{36}{12}$  for all v.

3-vertex not adjacent to 2-vertex:  $\mu^*(v) \ge 3 - 3(\frac{1}{13}) = \frac{36}{13}$ 3-vertex adjacent to 2-vertex:  $\mu^*(v) = 3 - \frac{3}{13} = \frac{36}{13}$ 2-vertex:  $2 + 2(\frac{3}{13}) + 4(\frac{1}{13}) = \frac{36}{13}$ 

And the theorem is best possible.



**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{36}{13}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 5$ . **Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.

#### **Discharging rules**

R1) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{3}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 1. R2) Each 3-vertex gives  $\frac{1}{13}$  to each 2-vertex at distance 2.  $\mu(v) = d(v)$  and we check that  $\mu^*(v) \ge \frac{36}{12}$  for all v.

3-vertex not adjacent to 2-vertex:  $\mu^*(v) \ge 3 - 3(\frac{1}{13}) = \frac{36}{13}$ 3-vertex adjacent to 2-vertex:  $\mu^*(v) = 3 - \frac{3}{13} = \frac{36}{13}$ 2-vertex:  $2 + 2(\frac{3}{13}) + 4(\frac{1}{13}) = \frac{36}{13}$ 

And the theorem is **best possible**.



**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{5}{2}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 4$ .

**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{5}{2}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 4$ .

**Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.

**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{5}{2}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 4$ .

**Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.



**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{5}{2}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 4$ .

**Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.



**Discharging:**  $\mu(v) = d(v)$ .

**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{5}{2}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 4$ .

**Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.



**Discharging:**  $\mu(v) = d(v)$ .

We want G to have no more 2-vertices than 3-vertices. Why?

**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{5}{2}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 4$ .

**Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.



**Discharging:**  $\mu(v) = d(v)$ .

We want G to have no more 2-vertices than 3-vertices. Why? Consider  $G_2$ , subgraph of edges incident to 2-vertices.

**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{5}{2}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 4$ .

**Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.



**Discharging:**  $\mu(v) = d(v)$ .

We want G to have no more 2-vertices than 3-vertices. Why? Consider  $G_2$ , subgraph of edges incident to 2-vertices. We win if each component of  $G_2$  is a tree or cycle.

**Thm.** If  $\Delta = 3$  and  $Mad(G) < \frac{5}{2}$ , then  $\chi_i(G) \le 4$ .

**Pf.** Assume *G* is min counterexample. Forbidden configs.



**Discharging:**  $\mu(v) = d(v)$ .

We want G to have no more 2-vertices than 3-vertices. Why? Consider  $G_2$ , subgraph of edges incident to 2-vertices. We win if each component of  $G_2$  is a tree or cycle.

















