List-coloring the Square of a Subcubic Graph

Daniel Cranston and Seog-Jin Kim dcransto@uiuc.edu University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

< ロ > < 母 > < 言 > < 言 > < 言 > < つ > < の へ の > <

L-coloring: proper coloring where each vertex gets a color from its assigned list

 $\ensuremath{\textit{L-coloring:}}$ proper coloring where each vertex gets a color from its assigned list

k-choosable: there exists an *L*-coloring whenever all $|L(v)| \ge k$



L-coloring: proper coloring where each vertex gets a color from its assigned list

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

k-choosable: there exists an *L*-coloring whenever all $|L(v)| \ge k$

 $\chi_I(G)$: minimum k such that G is k-choosable

L-coloring: proper coloring where each vertex gets a color from its assigned list

k-choosable: there exists an *L*-coloring whenever all $|L(v)| \ge k$

 $\chi_{I}(G)$: minimum k such that G is k-choosable



(日) (四) (三) (三)

Sac

L-coloring: proper coloring where each vertex gets a color from its assigned list

k-choosable: there exists an *L*-coloring whenever all $|L(v)| \ge k$

 $\chi_{I}(G)$: minimum k such that G is k-choosable



 G^2 (square of G): formed from G by adding edges between vertices at distance 2.

Sac

If G is planar and
$$\Delta(G)=$$
 3, then $\chi(G^2)\leq$ 7.

< ロ > < 四 > < 三 > < 三 >

€ *•*)∢(~

If G is planar and $\Delta(G) = 3$, then $\chi(G^2) \leq 7$.

Theorem [Thomassen 2007]

Wegner's conjecture for $\Delta(G) = 3$ is true.



If G is planar and $\Delta(G) = 3$, then $\chi(G^2) \leq 7$.

Theorem [Thomassen 2007]

Wegner's conjecture for $\Delta(G) = 3$ is true.

Conjecture [Kostochka & Woodall 2001]

 $\chi_I(G^2) = \chi(G^2)$ for every graph G.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

If G is planar and $\Delta(G) = 3$, then $\chi(G^2) \leq 7$.

Theorem [Thomassen 2007]

Wegner's conjecture for $\Delta(G) = 3$ is true.

Conjecture [Kostochka & Woodall 2001]

$$\chi_I(G^2) = \chi(G^2)$$
 for every graph *G*.
 $\implies \chi_I(G^2) \le 7$ if *G* is planar and $\Delta(G) = 3$.

(日) (日) (三) (三) (三) (日) (日)

Theorem 2:

If G is planar, $\Delta(G) = 3$, and girth ≥ 9 , then $\chi_l(G^2) \leq 6$.

Theorem 2: If G is planar, $\Delta(G) = 3$, and girth ≥ 9 , then $\chi_I(G^2) \leq 6$.

Theorem 3: If $\Delta(G) = 3$ and G is not the Petersen graph, then $\chi_I(G^2) \le 8$.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

Theorem 2: If G is planar, $\Delta(G) = 3$, and girth ≥ 9 , then $\chi_I(G^2) \leq 6$.

Theorem 3: If $\Delta(G) = 3$ and G is not the Petersen graph, then $\chi_I(G^2) \leq 8$.

(ロ) (月) (三) (三) (三) (0)

Plan

• get an upper bound on $\overline{d}(G)$

Theorem 2: If G is planar, $\Delta(G) = 3$, and girth ≥ 9 , then $\chi_I(G^2) \leq 6$.

Theorem 3: If $\Delta(G) = 3$ and G is not the Petersen graph, then $\chi_I(G^2) \leq 8$.

< 口 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ = ○ < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○

Plan

- get an upper bound on $\overline{d}(G)$
- consider a minimimal counterexample G

Theorem 2: If G is planar, $\Delta(G) = 3$, and girth ≥ 9 , then $\chi_I(G^2) \leq 6$.

Theorem 3: If $\Delta(G) = 3$ and G is not the Petersen graph, then $\chi_I(G^2) \leq 8$.

< 口 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ = ○ < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○

Plan

- get an upper bound on $\overline{d}(G)$
- consider a minimimal counterexample G
- list forbidden subgraphs

Theorem 2: If G is planar, $\Delta(G) = 3$, and girth ≥ 9 , then $\chi_I(G^2) \leq 6$.

Theorem 3: If $\Delta(G) = 3$ and G is not the Petersen graph, then $\chi_I(G^2) \leq 8$.

Plan

- get an upper bound on $\overline{d}(G)$
- consider a minimimal counterexample G
- list forbidden subgraphs
- ► use discharging to show that if G does not contain any forbidden subgraph, then the bound on d(G) does not hold

(日) < (日) > (1)

Jac.

$$\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2g}{g-2}$$

<ロ> < 四> < 回> < 三> < 三> < 三> < 三 < つへで

$$\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2g}{g-2}$$

Proof:

$$e+2 = v+f$$

<ロ> < 四> < 回> < 三> < 三> < 三> < 三 > < 三 > < 三

$$\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2g}{g-2}$$

Proof:

$$e+2 = v+f$$
$$e < v+f$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

$$\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2g}{g-2}$$

Proof:

$$e+2 = v+f$$

$$e < v+f$$

$$e < \frac{2e}{\overline{d}} + \frac{2e}{g}$$

$$\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2g}{g-2}$$

Proof:

$$e+2 = v+f$$

$$e < v+f$$

$$e < \frac{2e}{\overline{d}} + \frac{2e}{g}$$

$$\overline{d} < \frac{2g}{g-2}$$

<ロ> < 四> < 回> < 三> < 三> < 三> < 三 > < 三 > < 三

If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,

then G does not contain any of the following 5 subgraphs:



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1, then G does not contain any of the following 5 subgraphs:

(日) (四) (三) (三)

∍

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1, then G does not contain any of the following 5 subgraphs:





If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,





If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,

then G does not contain any of the following 5 subgraphs:



< 口 > < 同 >

Jac.

If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,

then G does not contain any of the following 5 subgraphs:



< 口 > < 同 >

Jac.

If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,

then G does not contain any of the following 5 subgraphs:



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 >

Jac.


If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,





If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,

then G does not contain any of the following 5 subgraphs:



< ロ > < 何 > < 三 >

- - E - E

Sac

If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,



If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1,

then G does not contain any of the following 5 subgraphs:



Corollary 6: Let $M_1(v)$ and $M_2(v)$ denote the number of 2-vertices at distance 1 and 2 from v.

If v is a: 2-vertex, then $M_1(v) = M_2(v) = 0$. 3-vertex, then $2M_1(v) + M_2(v) \le 2$.



Theorem 1: If G is planar, $\Delta(G) = 3$, girth ≥ 7 , then $\chi_I(G^2) \leq 7$.

Proof of Theorem 1:

Say G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1:

Say G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1.

Lemma 4 says
$$\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2(7)}{7-2} = 2\frac{4}{5}$$
.

Proof of Theorem 1: Say G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1.

Lemma 4 says $\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2(7)}{7-2} = 2\frac{4}{5}$.

We will show $\overline{d}(G) \ge 2\frac{4}{5}$, which gives a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1: Say G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1.

Lemma 4 says $\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2(7)}{7-2} = 2\frac{4}{5}$.

We will show $\overline{d}(G) \ge 2\frac{4}{5}$, which gives a contradiction.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

We use a discharging argument with $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

Proof of Theorem 1:

We use a discharging argument with $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

(ロ) (月) (三) (三) (三) (0)

Proof of Theorem 1:

We use a discharging argument with $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

• Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{5}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 1.

Proof of Theorem 1:

We use a discharging argument with $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{5}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 1.
- Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{10}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 2.

Proof of Theorem 1:

We use a discharging argument with $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- Each 3-vertex gives ¹/₅ to each 2-vertex at distance 1.
- Each 3-vertex gives ¹/₁₀ to each 2-vertex at distance 2.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

Show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{5}$ for each vertex v.

Proof of Theorem 1:

We use a discharging argument with $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{5}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 1.
- Each 3-vertex gives ¹/₁₀ to each 2-vertex at distance 2.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

Show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{5}$ for each vertex v.

Proof of Theorem 1:

We use a discharging argument with $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{5}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 1.
- Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{10}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 2.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

Show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{5}$ for each vertex v.

2-vertex:

3-vertex:

Proof of Theorem 1:

We use a discharging argument with $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{5}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 1.
- ► Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{10}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 2. Show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{5}$ for each vertex v. 2-vertex: $2 + 2(\frac{1}{5}) + 4(\frac{1}{10}) = 2\frac{4}{5}$ 3-vertex:

Proof of Theorem 1:

We use a discharging argument with $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{5}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 1.
- Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{10}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 2.

(ロ) (月) (三) (三) (三) (0)

Show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{5}$ for each vertex v.

2-vertex: $2 + 2(\frac{1}{5}) + 4(\frac{1}{10}) = 2\frac{4}{5}$ 3-vertex: $3 - \frac{1}{5}M_1(v) - \frac{1}{10}M_2(v)$

Proof of Theorem 1:

We use a discharging argument with $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{5}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 1.
- ► Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{10}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 2. Show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{5}$ for each vertex v. 2-vertex: $2 + 2(\frac{1}{5}) + 4(\frac{1}{10}) = 2\frac{4}{5}$ 3-vertex: $3 - \frac{1}{5}M_1(v) - \frac{1}{10}M_2(v)$ $= 3 - \frac{1}{10}(2M_1(v) + M_2(v))$

(ロ) (月) (三) (三) (三) (0)

Proof of Theorem 1:

We use a discharging argument with $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- Each 3-vertex gives ¹/₅ to each 2-vertex at distance 1.
- ► Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{10}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 2. Show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{5}$ for each vertex v. 2-vertex: $2 + 2(\frac{1}{5}) + 4(\frac{1}{10}) = 2\frac{4}{5}$ 3-vertex: $3 - \frac{1}{5}M_1(v) - \frac{1}{10}M_2(v)$ $= 3 - \frac{1}{10}(2M_1(v) + M_2(v))$ $\ge 3 - \frac{1}{10}(2)$

Proof of Theorem 1:

We use a discharging argument with $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- Each 3-vertex gives ¹/₅ to each 2-vertex at distance 1.
- ► Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{1}{10}$ to each 2-vertex at distance 2. Show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{5}$ for each vertex v. 2-vertex: $2 + 2(\frac{1}{5}) + 4(\frac{1}{10}) = 2\frac{4}{5}$ 3-vertex: $3 - \frac{1}{5}M_1(v) - \frac{1}{10}M_2(v)$ $= 3 - \frac{1}{10}(2M_1(v) + M_2(v))$ $\ge 3 - \frac{1}{10}(2)$ $= 2\frac{4}{5}$

If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 2, then G does not contain any of the following 5 subgraphs:





If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 2, then G does not contain any of the following 5 subgraphs:



< 口 > < 同 > .

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$




















If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 2, then G does not contain the following as a subgraph:



< 口 > < 同 >

If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 2, then G does not contain the following as a subgraph:



• • • •

P.

If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 2, then G does not contain the following as a subgraph:



• • • •

A 🕨

If G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 2, then G does not contain the following as a subgraph:



• • • •

P.

Proof of Theorem 2:

Proof of Theorem 2:

By Lemma 4, we know that $\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2(9)}{9-2} = 2\frac{4}{7}$.

Proof of Theorem 2:

By Lemma 4, we know that $\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2(9)}{9-2} = 2\frac{4}{7}$. We will use discharging to show that $\overline{d}(G) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

Proof of Theorem 2:

By Lemma 4, we know that $\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2(9)}{9-2} = 2\frac{4}{7}$. We will use discharging to show that $\overline{d}(G) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$.

Def: a 3-vertex is class *i* if it is adjacent to *i* 2-vertices.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

Proof of Theorem 2:

By Lemma 4, we know that $\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2(9)}{9-2} = 2\frac{4}{7}$. We will use discharging to show that $\overline{d}(G) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$.

Def: a 3-vertex is class *i* if it is adjacent to *i* 2-vertices. We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

(ロ) (月) (三) (三) (三) (0)

Proof of Theorem 2: By Lemma 4, we know that $\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2(9)}{9-2} = 2\frac{4}{7}$. We will use discharging to show that $\overline{d}(G) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$.

Def: a 3-vertex is class *i* if it is adjacent to *i* 2-vertices. We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

< 口 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ = ○ < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○

We have three discharging rules.

Proof of Theorem 2: By Lemma 4, we know that $\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2(9)}{9-2} = 2\frac{4}{7}$. We will use discharging to show that $\overline{d}(G) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$.

Def: a 3-vertex is class *i* if it is adjacent to *i* 2-vertices. We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

We have three discharging rules.

R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.

< 口 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ = ○ < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○

Proof of Theorem 2: By Lemma 4, we know that $\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2(9)}{9-2} = 2\frac{4}{7}$. We will use discharging to show that $\overline{d}(G) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$.

Def: a 3-vertex is class *i* if it is adjacent to *i* 2-vertices. We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

We have three discharging rules.

R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex. R2) Each class 0 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex.

Proof of Theorem 2: By Lemma 4, we know that $\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2(9)}{9-2} = 2\frac{4}{7}$. We will use discharging to show that $\overline{d}(G) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$.

Def: a 3-vertex is class *i* if it is adjacent to *i* 2-vertices. We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

We have three discharging rules.

R1) Each 3-vertex gives ²/₇ to each adjacent 2-vertex.
R2) Each class 0 vertex gives ¹/₇ to each adjacent 3-vertex.
R3) Each class 1 vertex gives ¹/₇ to each class 2 vertex at dist. 1. gives ¹/₇ to each class 3 vertex at dist. 2.

Proof of Theorem 2: By Lemma 4, we know that $\overline{d}(G) < \frac{2(9)}{9-2} = 2\frac{4}{7}$. We will use discharging to show that $\overline{d}(G) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$.

Def: a 3-vertex is class *i* if it is adjacent to *i* 2-vertices. We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

We have three discharging rules.

R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex. R2) Each class 0 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex. R3) Each class 1 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 2 vertex at dist. 1. gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 3 vertex at dist. 2. We need to show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$ for each vertex v.

Proof of Theorem 2:

We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.
- R2) Each class 0 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex.
- R3) Each class 1 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 2 vertex at dist. 1. gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 3 vertex at dist. 2.

We need to show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$ for each vertex v.

Proof of Theorem 2:

We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.
- R2) Each class 0 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex.
- R3) Each class 1 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 2 vertex at dist. 1. gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 3 vertex at dist. 2.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

We need to show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$ for each vertex v. 2-vertex:

3-vertex:

class 0:

class 2:

class 3:

class 1:

Proof of Theorem 2:

We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.
- R2) Each class 0 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex.
- R3) Each class 1 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 2 vertex at dist. 1. gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 3 vertex at dist. 2.

We need to show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$ for each vertex v.

2-vertex: $2 + 2\left(\frac{2}{7}\right) = 2\frac{4}{7}$ 3-vertex:

class 0:

class 2:

class 3:

class 1:

Proof of Theorem 2:

We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.
- R2) Each class 0 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex.
- R3) Each class 1 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 2 vertex at dist. 1. gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 3 vertex at dist. 2.

We need to show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$ for each vertex v. 2-vertex: \checkmark

3-vertex:

class 0: $3-3\left(\frac{1}{7}\right)=2\frac{4}{7}$ class 2: class 3: class 1:

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

Proof of Theorem 2:

We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.
- R2) Each class 0 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex.
- R3) Each class 1 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 2 vertex at dist. 1. gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 3 vertex at dist. 2.

We need to show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$ for each vertex v.

2-vertex:

3-vertex:

class 0:

class 2:
$$3 - 2\left(\frac{2}{7}\right) + \frac{1}{7} = 2\frac{4}{7}$$

class 3:

class 1:



nac

Proof of Theorem 2:

We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.
- R2) Each class 0 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex.
- R3) Each class 1 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 2 vertex at dist. 1. gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 3 vertex at dist. 2.

We need to show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$ for each vertex v.



Proof of Theorem 2:

We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.
- R2) Each class 0 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex.
- R3) Each class 1 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 2 vertex at dist. 1. gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 3 vertex at dist. 2.

We need to show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$ for each vertex v. 2-vertex:

3-vertex:

class 0:

class 2: ✓

class 3: √

class 1: $3 - \frac{2}{7} - \frac{1}{7} = 2\frac{4}{7}$



Proof of Theorem 2:

We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.
- R2) Each class 0 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex.
- R3) Each class 1 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 2 vertex at dist. 1. gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 3 vertex at dist. 2.

We need to show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$ for each vertex v. 2-vertex:

3-vertex:

class 0:

class 2: ✓

class 3: √

class 1: $3 - \frac{2}{7} - \frac{1}{7} = 2\frac{4}{7}$



Proof of Theorem 2:

We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.
- R2) Each class 0 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex.
- R3) Each class 1 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 2 vertex at dist. 1. gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 3 vertex at dist. 2.

We need to show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$ for each vertex v.



Proof of Theorem 2:

We use discharging with an initial charge $\mu(v) = d(v)$.

- R1) Each 3-vertex gives $\frac{2}{7}$ to each adjacent 2-vertex.
- R2) Each class 0 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each adjacent 3-vertex.
- R3) Each class 1 vertex gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 2 vertex at dist. 1. gives $\frac{1}{7}$ to each class 3 vertex at dist. 2.

< 口 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ = ○ < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○ > < ○

We need to show that $\mu^*(v) \ge 2\frac{4}{7}$ for each vertex v. \checkmark

2-vertex:

3-vertex:

class 0: √

class 2: ✓

class 3: ✓

class 1:

1. What is the smallest girth g such that each planar graph G with $\Delta(G) = 3$ and girth g satisfies $\chi_I(G^2) \le 6$?

1. What is the smallest girth g such that each planar graph G with $\Delta(G) = 3$ and girth g satisfies $\chi_I(G^2) \le 6$?

What is the smallest girth g such that each planar graph G with Δ(G) = 3 and girth g satisfies χ_l(G²) ≤ 7?

(ロ) (月) (三) (三) (三) (0)

1. What is the smallest girth g such that each planar graph G with $\Delta(G) = 3$ and girth g satisfies $\chi_I(G^2) \le 6$?

What is the smallest girth g such that each planar graph G with Δ(G) = 3 and girth g satisfies χ_l(G²) ≤ 7?

3. Is it true that every graph G satisfies $\chi_I(G^2) = \chi(G^2)$?

(ロ) (用) (三) (三) (三) (0)

Thank you! Any Questions?